

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA                    )  
                                                          )  
v                                                    ) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:06cv300-MHT  
                                                          )  
WILLIE FATE JONES                            )

**ORDER**

On March 27, 2006 (Doc. # 2), the movant, Willie Fate Jones, filed a motion which this court (Doc. # 1) construed as a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.<sup>1</sup> This court further ordered that on or before June 5, 2006, Jones should file an amendment to his § 2255 motion setting forth any specific claims for relief he wished to present. On June 2, 2006 (Doc. # 3), Jones filed an amendment to his § 2255 motion setting forth his specific claims.<sup>2</sup> By his § 2255 motion, as amended, Jones challenges his 2005 convictions and his resulting sentence for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and possession of a firearm after having been convicted of a felony.

Accordingly, the CLERK is hereby DIRECTED to serve a copies of Jones's § 2255

---

<sup>1</sup>Although Jones's motion was date-stamped "received" in this court on April 3, 2006, under the "mailbox rule," the court deemed it filed on the date he delivered it to prison authorities for mailing, presumptively, March 27, 2006, the day that he signed it. *See Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 271-72 (1988); *Washington v. United States*, 243 F.3d 1299, 1301 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

<sup>2</sup>Jones's amended motion is date-stamped "received" in this court on June 29, 2006. However, he signed the motion, stating that he was placing it in the prison mailing system, on June 2, 2006. Accordingly, this court deems his amended motion to be filed on June 2, 2006.

motion and amended motion upon the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama. The United States Attorney is

ORDERED to file a response to Jones's motion, as amended, within thirty (30) days from the date of this order. The government is advised that its response must address each claim presented by Jones in the § 2255 motion.

**In filing its response, the government shall identify and produce all documents and court records relevant to the issues pending in this cause of action. The government should also indicate what transcripts are available, whether such documents are a part of the original criminal record, and, if not, when they can be furnished to the court.** The government shall attach to its response those portions of the trial record, including the plea agreement, guilty plea proceeding, and/or sentencing transcript, that are pertinent to a determination of the issues presented in this cause of action. **In addition, if this court is barred from reviewing a movant's claims due to his failure to properly preserve such claims, the government shall identify the defaulted claim(s) and provide a factual and legal basis for the procedural default argument.** Moreover, in filing its response, the government shall make specific reference to the portion of 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that provides:

A 1-year period of limitation shall apply to a motion under this section.  
The limitation period shall run from the latest of--

(1) the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final;

(2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making a motion by such governmental action;

(3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

(4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

**The government is advised that its response should contain a detailed procedural history, all relevant records, and citations to applicable case law from which the court can determine the applicability of the limitation period contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255.**

The § 2255 motion contains claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. The court therefore concludes that Jones has waived the attorney-client privilege with respect to these claims. *See Mincey v. Head*, 206 F.3d 1106, 1119 n.13 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) (counsel could have related what client “told them because, by claiming that their performance in the trial court was ineffective, [defendant] had waived the attorney-client privilege.”); *Laughner v. United States*, 373 F.2d 326, 327 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1967); *see also McDowell v. Calderon*, 197 F.3d 1253, 1254 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999) (defendant’s claims of ineffective assistance of counsel “waived his attorney-client privilege as to those matters pertaining to the attorney’s representation of [defendant] at trial.”); *Tasby v. United States*, 504 F.2d 332, 336 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1974), *cert. denied*,

419 U.S. 1125 (1975) (attorney-client privilege is waived when client challenges attorney's effectiveness).

In light of the foregoing, the CLERK is DIRECTED to provide a copy of the instant § 2255 motion and amended motion to attorney Barry E. Teague. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty (20) days from the date of this order, Mr. Teague shall file with this court an affidavit that addresses the claims of ineffective assistance of counsel presented against him in the § 2255 motion as amended. Mr. Teague shall also furnish the United States Attorney and Jones with copies of his affidavit.

**The parties are advised that no motion for summary judgment, motion to dismiss or any other dispositive motions addressed to the motion to vacate may be filed by any party without permission of the court.** If any pleading denominated as a motion for summary judgment, motion to dismiss or other dispositive motion is sent to the court, the court shall not file or otherwise treat the pleading as a dispositive motion until and unless further order of the court.

The *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* require that the movant mail to counsel for the government a true copy of anything that is sent to the court. Consequently, the movant is advised that he must mail to the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama a true copy of **anything** that he sends to the court. Any document, pleading or correspondence sent to the court should specifically state that it has been sent to the United

States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama. Failure to comply with the directives of this order may result in delaying resolution of this case. Moreover, the movant is specifically **CAUTIONED** that his failure to file pleadings in conformity with the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* and/or the directives contained in this order will result in such pleadings not being accepted for filing. The CLERK is **DIRECTED** to not accept for filing any pleadings submitted by the movant that are not in compliance with either the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* or the directives contained in this order.

Done this 5<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2006.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/Charles S. Coody  
CHARLES S. COODY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE